1	MEISELMAN, DENLEA, PACKMAN, CARTON & EBERZ P.C.	
2	Jeffrey I. Carton (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
3	Jerome Noll (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue	
4	White Plains, New York 10605 (914) 517-5000	
5	CALDWELL LEGILE & DDOCTOD DC	
6	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC ROBYN C. CROWTHER, SBN 193840 Crowther@caldwell-leslie.com ALBERT GIANG, SBN 224332	
7	ALBERT GIANG, SBN 224332	
8	Giang@caldwell-leslie.com 1000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600	
9	Los Angeles, California 90017-2463 Telephone: (213) 629-9040 Facsimile: (213) 629-9022	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	GIORGÍO GOMELSKY and all others similarly situated	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	GIORGIO GOMELSKY, On behalf of himself and	Case No. C-08-04969 JF
16	all others similarly situated	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
17	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
18	V.	
19		
20	APPLE INC.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 and Civil Local Rules 7-7(a)(1) and	
24	7-12, the parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:	
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the complaint in the above-captioned matter on October	
26	30, 2008;	
27	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss and to Strike (the "Motion") on	
28		
	December 22, 2009;	
CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR		-1- STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER

Gomelsky v. Apple, Inc.

Doc. 26

LESLIE &

PROCTOR

WHEREAS, the Motion is currently noticed for hearing on March 6, 2009, and no opposition to the Motion has been filed;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff has given careful consideration to the arguments raised in the Motion and has determined that filing an amended complaint would conserve judicial resources as well as the resources of the parties;

WHEREAS, there have been no previous amendments to the complaint and no responsive pleading has been served;

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff has advised Defendant of his intention to file an amended complaint narrowing the claims asserted and substituting and/or adding named plaintiffs;

WHEREAS, Defendant has agreed that, in light of the foregoing, the hearing on the Motion should be continued until after Defendant has had the opportunity to evaluate the amended complaint;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:

- 1. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within thirty (30) days of the date of this Stipulation;
 - 2. The March 6, 2009 hearing date on the Motion shall be adjourned; and
- 3. Within thirty (30) days following the filing of the amended complaint, Defendant will advise the Court whether it intends to move to dismiss the amended complaint, or to answer the amended complaint in which case the Motion will be withdrawn.

Dated: January 29, 2009 Caldwell Leslie & Proctor, PC

By: /s/ Robyn C. Crowther
Robyn C. Crowther
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: January 29, 2009 Morrison & Foerster LLP

By: /s/ Andrew D. Muhlbach
Andrew D. Muhlbach
Attorneys for Defendant

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45.1, I, Robyn C. Crowther attest that I obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatories. **PROPOSED ORDER** PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January ___, 2009 The Honorable Jeremy Fogel United States District Judge

CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR